



## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

### Roman Subdivision Domination in Graphs

M. H. Muddebihal<sup>\*1</sup>, Sumangaladevi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>\*1,2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Gulbarga University, Gulbarga-585106, India  
mhmuddebihal@yahoo.co.in

#### Abstract

The subdivision graph  $S(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the graph whose vertex set is the union of the set of vertices and the set of edges of  $G$  in which each edge  $uv$  is subdivided at once as  $uw$  and  $wv$ .

A Roman dominating function on a subdivision graph  $S(G) = H$  is a function  $f: V(H) \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$  satisfying the condition that every vertex  $u$  for which  $f(u) = 0$  is adjacent to at least one vertex  $v$  for which  $f(v) = 2$ . The weight of a Roman dominating function is the value  $f(V(H)) = \sum_{v \in V(H)} f(v)$ . The minimum weight of a Roman dominating function on a subdivision graph  $H$  is called the Roman subdivision domination number of  $G$  and is denoted by  $\gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

In this paper, we study the Roman domination in subdivision graph  $S(G)$  and obtain some results on  $\gamma_{RS}(G)$  in terms of vertices, blocks and other different parameters of the graph  $G$ , but not the members of  $S(G)$ . Further we develop its relationship with other different domination parameters of  $G$ .

**Subject classification number:** 05C69, 05C70.

**Keywords:** Graph/subdivision graph/domination number /Roman domination number.

#### Introduction

In this paper, we follow the notations of [2]. All the graphs considered here are simple, finite, nontrivial and undirected. As usual  $p = |V|$  and  $q = |E|$  denote the number of vertices and edges of a graph  $G$  respectively.

In general, we use  $\langle S \rangle$  to denote the subgraph induced by the set of vertices of  $S$ .  $N(v)$  and  $N[v]$  denote the open and closed neighborhood of a vertex  $v$ .

The degree of a vertex  $v$  in a graph  $G$  is the number of edges of  $G$  incident with  $v$  and it is denoted by  $\deg v$ . The maximum (minimum) degree among the vertices of  $G$  is denoted by  $\Delta(G)$  ( $\delta(G)$ ). A vertex of degree one is called an end vertex and its neighbor is called a nonend vertex. A vertex  $v$  is called a cut vertex if removing it from  $G$  increases the number of components of  $G$ .

A subdivision graph  $S(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the graph whose vertex set is the union of the set of vertices and the set of edges of  $G$  in which each edge  $uv$  is subdivided at once as  $uw$  and  $wv$ .

A Roman dominating function (RDF) on a graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a function  $f: V \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$  satisfying the condition that every vertex  $u$  for which  $f(u) = 0$  is adjacent to at least one vertex  $v$  for which  $f(v) = 2$ .

The weight of a Roman dominating function is the value  $f(V) = \sum_{v \in V} f(v)$ . The minimum weight of a Roman

dominating function on a graph  $G$  is called the Roman domination number and is denoted by  $\gamma_R(G)$ . This concept has the historical motivation which is suggested by Ian Stewart [3] in his article ‘Scientific American’ entitled ‘Defend the Roman Empire’ and is studied by Cockayne et.al[1].

A Roman dominating function  $f = (V_0, V_1, V_2)$  on a graph  $G$  is a connected Roman dominating function (CRDF) on  $G$  if  $\langle V_1 \cup V_2 \rangle$  or  $\langle V_2 \rangle$  is connected. The minimum weight of a CRDF is called a connected Roman domination number of  $G$  and is denoted by  $\gamma_{RC}(G)$ , see[7].

Analogously, we now define Roman subdivision domination number of a graph as follows.

A Roman dominating function on a subdivision graph  $H$  is a function  $f : V(H) \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$  satisfying the condition that every vertex  $u$  for which  $f(u) = 0$  is adjacent to at least one vertex  $v$  for which  $f(v) = 2$ .

The weight of a Roman dominating function is the value  $f(V(H)) = \sum_{v \in V(H)} f(v)$ . The minimum weight of a

Roman dominating function on a subdivision graph  $H$  is called the Roman subdivision domination number of  $G$  and is denoted by  $\gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

## Results

We use the following results for our further results.

**Theorem A[4]:** For any graph  $G$ ,  $p - q \leq \gamma(G)$ .

**Theorem B[8]:** For any graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma(G) \leq \frac{p}{2}$ .

**Theorem C[1]:** For any graph  $G$ ,  $\gamma(G) \leq \gamma_R(G) \leq 2\gamma(G)$ .

**Theorem D[5]:** For any tree  $T$ ,  $\gamma_R(L(T)) \leq \gamma_R(T)$ .

**Theorem E[6]:** Let  $T$  be any tree with every nonend vertex of  $T$  adjacent to at least one end vertex. Then  $\gamma_{Rn}(T) \leq p - c + 1$ .

Now we list out the exact values of  $\gamma_{RS}(G)$  for some standard graphs.

### Theorem1:

1. For any path with at least three vertices

$$\gamma_{RS}(P_{3n}) = 4n. \text{ Where } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\gamma_{RS}(P_{3n+1}) = 4n + 1.$$

$$\gamma_{RS}(P_{3n+2}) = 4n + 2.$$

2. For any cycle with at least three vertices

$$\gamma_{RS}(C_{3n}) = 4n. \text{ Where } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\gamma_{RS}(C_{3n+1}) = 4n + 2.$$

$$\gamma_{RS}(C_{3n+2}) = 4n + 3.$$

3. For any wheel with at least four vertices

$$\gamma_{RS}(W_{3n+1}) = 4n + 2. \text{ Where } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\gamma_{RS}(W_{3n+2}) = 4n + 4.$$

$$\gamma_{RS}(W_{3n+3}) = 4n + 5.$$

4. For any star  $K_{1,p}$  with  $p \geq 2$

$$\gamma_{RS}(K_{1,p}) = p + 2.$$

5. For any complete graph with at least three vertices

$$\gamma_{RS}(K_p) = 2(p - 1).$$

In the following theorem we establish the lower bound for  $\gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Theorem2:** Let  $G$  be any  $(p, q)$  graph with  $p \geq 2$  vertices. Then  $p \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $G$  be any  $(p, q)$  graph with  $p \geq 2$  vertices and  $f = (V_0, V_1, V_2)$  be a  $\gamma_R$ -function in  $S(G)$ . We prove the result by induction on the number of vertices  $p$  of  $G$ .

Assume  $G$  is a graph with  $p = 2$  vertices. Then  $\gamma_{RS}(G) = 2 = p$ .

Assume that the result is true for all graphs with  $p = k$  vertices. Then  $\gamma_{RS}(G) \geq p$ .

Let  $D_{RS} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be the minimal Roman dominating set of  $S(G)$  such that  $\gamma_{RS}(G) = |D_{RS}|$ . If  $G$  has  $k+1 = p'$  vertices ( $p' = p+1$ ),  $q' > q$  edges and this  $(k+1)^{th}$  vertex will be adjacent to at least one vertex of  $G$  gives  $G'$ . With this new vertex in  $G'$ , at least one edge will be increased in  $G'$ .

Since each edge is subdivided in  $G'$ . So that two vertices will be increased in  $S(G')$  such that one vertex  $v \in V_2$  or  $V_1$  of  $S(G')$ , which increases the cardinality of  $D_{RS}$ . Clearly  $\gamma_{RS}(G) = |D_{RS}| + 2 \geq p'$  or  $\gamma_{RS}(G) = |D_{RS}| + 1 \geq p'$ . Hence by induction,  $\gamma_{RS}(G) \geq p'$ .

The following lower bounds are immediate.

**Corollary1:** For any nontrivial connected graph  $G$ ,  $\alpha_0(G) + \beta_0(G) \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Corollary2:** For any nontrivial connected graph  $G$ ,  $\alpha_1(G) + \beta_1(G) \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Theorem3:** Let  $G$  be any  $(p, q)$  graph. Then  $p - q < \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Proof:** For any graph  $G$ , by Theorem A,  $p - q \leq \gamma(G)$ .

By Theorem B,  $\gamma(G) \leq \frac{p}{2}$ , which gives  $p - q \leq \frac{p}{2} < p$ .

Again by Theorem 2,  $p \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

Hence  $p - q < \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Theorem4:** For any nontrivial connected tree with  $p \geq 3$ ,  $\gamma_{RS}(T) = 2n_1 + k$  where  $n_1$  and  $k$  are the number of all nonend vertices and end vertices of  $T$  if and only if every nonend vertex of  $T$  is adjacent to at least two end vertices.

**Proof:** Suppose for any tree  $T$ ,  $\gamma_{RS}(T) = 2n_1 + k$ . Then we consider the following cases.

**Case1:** Assume there exists a nonend vertex  $v$  which is adjacent to exactly one end vertex and  $e$  be an edge incident with  $v$ . Further  $n_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$   $n \geq 1$  be the number of all nonend vertices and

$k = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$   $i \geq 2$  be the number of all end vertices of  $T$ . Then  $k - \{N(v) \cap k\} = V_1$  and  $\{(n_1 - v) \cup e\} = V_2$ , which gives  $\gamma_{RS}(T) < 2n_1 + k$ , a contradiction.

**Case2:** Assume there exists a nonend vertex  $u$  which is not adjacent to an end vertex. Then  $(n_1 - u) = V_2$  and  $\{u \cup k\} = V_1$ , which gives  $\gamma_{RS}(T) < 2n_1 + k$ , a contradiction.

Conversely, let  $f = (V_0, V_1, V_2)$  be a  $\gamma_R$ -function in  $S(T)$ . Suppose  $n_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be the number of all nonend vertices of  $T$  is adjacent to at least two end vertices and  $k = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$  be the number of all end vertices of  $T$ . Then we consider the following cases.

**Case1:** Suppose  $H_1 \subseteq n_1$  be the number of nonend vertices of  $T$  adjacent exactly two end vertices. Then  $H_1 = V_2$  and  $k = V_1$ . Or let  $\{v_j\}$  be the set of nonend vertices of  $S(T)$  adjacent to end vertices. Suppose there exists at least two vertices of  $\{v_i\} \subset \{v_j\}$  such that  $\{v_i\} \subset V_2$ . Then there exists at least one vertex of  $n_2 \subset H_1$  such that  $n_2 \subset V_2$  and  $\{N(n_2) \cap k\} = V_1$ . Hence  $\gamma_{RS}(T) = 2|V_2| + |V_1| = 2n_1 + k$ .

**Case2:** Suppose  $H_2 \subseteq n_1$  be the number of nonend vertices of  $T$  adjacent at least three end vertices. Then  $H_2 = V_2$  and  $k = V_1$ . Hence  $\gamma_{RS}(T) = 2|V_2| + |V_1| = 2n_1 + k$ .

**Theorem5:** For any graph  $G$ ,  $2\gamma(G) \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Proof:** By Theorem B,  $\gamma(G) \leq \frac{p}{2}$ , then  $2\gamma(G) \leq p$ .

Also from Theorem2,  $p \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

Hence  $2\gamma(G) \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Theorem6:** For any nontrivial connected tree  $T$  with  $p \geq 3$ ,  $\gamma_{RS}(T) = 2\gamma_{RC}(T)$  if and only if every nonend vertex of  $T$  is adjacent to exactly two end vertices.

**Proof:** Let  $f = (V_0, V_1, V_2)$  be a  $\gamma_R$ -function in  $S(T)$  and  $f' = (V_0', V_1', V_2')$  be a  $\gamma_{RC}$ -function in  $T$ . Suppose  $n_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be the set of all nonend vertices of  $T$  and  $k = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$  be the set of all end vertices of  $T$ . Then we consider the following cases.

**Case1:** Suppose  $n_2 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \subset n_1$  be the set of nonend vertices of  $T$  not adjacent to end vertex. Then  $\forall v \in n_2, v \in V_1'$  in  $T$ . But  $v \in V_0$  or  $V_1$  or  $V_2$  in  $S(T)$ . If  $v \in V_0$ , let  $\{e_i; i = 2\}$  be the number of edges incident with  $v$ , then for  $\{e_j; j = 1\} \subset \{e_i\}$ ,  $\{e_j\} \in V_2$ . If  $v \in V_2$ , let  $\{e_k; k = 2\}$  be the number of edges incident with  $v$ , then  $\{e_k\} \in V_0$ . If  $v \in V_1$ , then there exists the edges  $\{e_m; m = 2\}$  incident with  $v$  such that  $\{e_m\} \in V_0$ , which gives  $2\gamma_{RC}(T) > \gamma_{RS}(T)$ , a contradiction.

**Case2:** Suppose  $n_3 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq n_1$  be the number of nonend vertices of  $T$  adjacent to exactly one end vertex and  $\{e_i\}$  be the number of all end edges of  $T$ . Then  $\exists \{\{n_4\}, \{n_5\}\} \subset \{n_3\}$  such that  $n_4 = \{v_i\}$  and  $n_5 = \{v_j\}$ , let  $\{e_j\} \subset \{e_i\}$ ,  $\forall \{e_j\}$  incident to  $\forall \{v_j\} \in n_5$  such that  $\{v_i \cup e_j\} = V_2$  and  $\{N(v_i) \cap k\} = V_1$  in  $S(T)$ . But  $\{n_4 \cup n_5\} = V_2'$  and  $V_1' = \emptyset$ , which gives  $2\gamma_{RC}(T) > \gamma_{RS}(T)$ , a contradiction.

**Case3:** Suppose  $n_6 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq n_1$  be the number of nonend vertices of  $T$  adjacent to at least three end vertices. Then  $\{n_6\} = V_2$  and  $\{N(n_6) \cap k\} = V_1$ . But  $\{n_6\} = V_2'$  and  $V_1' = \phi$ , which gives  $2\gamma_{RC}(T) < \gamma_{RS}(T)$ , a contradiction.

Hence all the above cases,  $2\gamma_{RC}(T) < \gamma_{RS}(T)$ .

Conversely, let  $T$  be a tree with every nonend vertex  $\{n_1\}$  of  $T$  adjacent to exactly two end vertices. Then  $\{n_1\} = V_2$  and  $k = V_1$  in  $S(T)$ . But  $\{n_1\} = V_2'$  and  $V_1' = \phi$ , which gives  $2\gamma_{RC}(T) = \gamma_{RS}(T)$ . Hence the proof.

**Theorem7:** Let  $G$  be any graph. Then  $\gamma_R(G) \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Proof:** By Theorem C,  $\gamma_R(G) \leq 2\gamma(G)$ .

Also by Theorem 5,  $2\gamma(G) \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

Hence  $\gamma_R(G) \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Theorem8:** Let  $T$  be a tree with every nonend vertex of  $T$  adjacent to at least one end vertex. Then  $\gamma_{RS}(T) > p - c + 1$  where  $c$  be the number of cut vertices of  $T$ .

**Proof:** Let  $f = (V_0, V_1, V_2)$  be a  $\gamma_R$ -function in  $S(T)$ . Suppose  $n_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be the number of all nonend vertices adjacent to exactly one end vertex and  $n_2 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$  be the number of nonend vertices adjacent to at least two end vertices, let  $c$  be the number of cut vertices of  $T$  and  $k$  be the number of all end vertices of  $T$ . Then we consider the following cases.

**Case1:** Suppose  $n_1 = \phi$ . Then  $|n_2| = |C| = |V_2|$  and  $\{N(n_2) \cap k\} = V_1$ . Hence  $\gamma_{RS}(T) = 2|V_2| + |V_1| > p - c + 1$ .

**Case2:** Suppose  $n_1 \neq \phi$ . Then  $\forall \{\{n_3\}, \{n_4\}\} \subset \{n_1\}$  such that  $n_3 = \{v_i\}$  and  $n_4 = \{v_j\}$ ,  $\exists$  the set of edges  $\{e_i\}$  incident with  $\{v_i\} \in n_3$  such that  $\{e_i \cup v_j\} = V_2$  and  $\{N(v_j) \cap k\} = V_1$ , which gives  $\gamma_{RS}(T) = 2|V_2| + |V_1| > p - c + 1$ .

Now from Theorem D, we can make  $L(T) = N$  such that  $\gamma_{RI}(T)$  is a Roman line domination number.

In the following theorem, we present our concept with  $\gamma_{RI}(T)$ .

**Theorem9:** For any tree  $T$ ,  $\gamma_{RI}(T) \leq \gamma_{RS}(T)$ .

**Proof:** By Theorem D,  $\gamma_{RI}(T) \leq \gamma_R(T)$ .

Also by Theorem 7,  $\gamma_R(G) \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

Hence  $\gamma_{RI}(T) \leq \gamma_{RS}(T)$ .

Again the following theorems establish the lower bound for  $\gamma_{RS}(G)$

**Theorem10:** Let  $T$  be a tree with every nonend vertex of  $T$  adjacent to at least one end vertex. Then  $\gamma_{RI}(T) < \gamma_{RS}(T)$ .

**Proof:** By Theorem E,  $\gamma_{RI}(T) \leq p - c + 1$ .

Also by Theorem 8,  $p - c + 1 < \gamma_{RS}(T)$ .

Hence  $\gamma_{Rn}(T) < \gamma_{RS}(T)$ .

**Theorem11:** For any graph  $G$  with  $p \geq 2$  vertices,  $\gamma_{RC}(G) \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $G$  be a graph with  $p \geq 2$  vertices,  $f = (V_0, V_1, V_2)$  be a  $\gamma_R$ -function in  $S(G)$  and  $f' = (V'_0, V'_1, V'_2)$  be a  $\gamma_{RC}$ -function in  $G$ . We prove the result by induction on the number of vertices  $p$  of  $G$ .

Assume  $G$  is a graph with  $p = 2$ . Then  $\gamma_{RC}(G) = 2$  and  $\gamma_{RS}(G) = 2 = \gamma_{RC}(G)$ .

Assume the result is true for all graphs  $G$  with  $p = k$ . Then  $\gamma_{RC}(G) \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

Let  $D_{RS} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be the minimal  $\gamma_R$ -set of  $S(G)$  and  $D_{RC} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$  be the minimal  $\gamma_{RC}$ -set of  $G$  such that  $\gamma_{RS}(G) = |D_{RS}|$  and  $\gamma_{RC}(G) = |D_{RC}|$  respectively. Suppose  $G$  has  $(k+1)$  vertices and this  $(k+1)^{th}$  vertex is adjacent to at least one vertex of  $G$ . Then we consider the following cases.

**Case1:** Suppose  $(k+1)^{th}$  vertex is adjacent to at least one vertex of  $D_{RC}$ . Then we consider the following subcases.

**Subcase1.1:** Assume  $(k+1)^{th}$  vertex is adjacent to  $v \in V'_2$  of  $D_{RC}$  which generates  $D'_{RC}$ . Then  $D'_{RS}$  be the Roman connected dominating set of  $S(G)$  such that  $|D'_{RC}| = |D_{RC}|$  and  $|D'_{RS}| > |D_{RS}|$ . Hence  $\gamma_{RC}(G) < \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Subcase1.2:** Assume  $u \in V'_1$  and  $v$  be a  $(k+1)^{th}$  vertex of  $G$ . If  $v$  is adjacent to  $u$ . Then  $u \in V'_2$  and  $v \in V'_0$ . But  $u \in V_2$  and  $v \in V_1$ . Clearly  $|D'_{RC}| = |D_{RC} + 1|$  and  $|D'_{RS}| > |D_{RS}|$ . Hence  $\gamma_{RC}(G) < \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Subcase1.3:** Assume  $(k+1)^{th}$  vertex is adjacent to  $(V'_1 \cup V'_2)$ . Then  $|D'_{RC}| = |D_{RC}|$ . But  $|D'_{RS}| > |D_{RS}|$ . Hence  $\gamma_{RC}(G) < \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Case2:** Suppose  $(k+1)^{th}$  vertex is adjacent to at least one vertex of  $V - D_{RC}$ , which means  $(k+1)^{th}$  vertex is adjacent to  $w \in V'_0$ . Then  $|D'_{RC}| \geq |D_{RC}|$ . But  $|D'_{RS}| > |D_{RS}|$ . Hence  $\gamma_{RC}(G) < \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

From all the cases, by induction we have,  $\gamma_{RC}(G) \leq \gamma_{RS}(G)$ .

**Theorem12:** For any nontrivial tree  $T$  with  $n$  blocks,  $\gamma_{RS}(T) \leq 2n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $T$  be any nontrivial tree with  $n$  blocks and  $f = (V_0, V_1, V_2)$  be a  $\gamma_R$ -function in  $S(T)$ . We prove the result by induction on the number of blocks  $n$  of  $T$ .

Assume  $T$  be a tree with  $n = 1$  block. Then  $T = P_2$ . Hence  $\gamma_{RS}(T) = 2 = 2 \times 1 = 2n$ .

Assume the result is true for all the trees with  $n = k$  blocks. Then  $\gamma_{RS}(T) \leq 2n$ .

Let  $D_{RS} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  be the minimal  $\gamma_R$ -set of  $S(T)$  such that  $\gamma_{RS}(T) = |D_{RS}|$ . If  $T$  has  $k+1$  blocks,  $p' = p + 1$  vertices,  $q' > q + 1$  edges and this  $(k+1)^{th}$  block is adjacent to at least one block of  $T$ . With this new block, one vertex and one edge will be increased in  $T$ . Since each edge of  $T$  subdivides at once,

hence two vertices will be increased in corresponding  $S(T)$ . So that cardinality of  $D_{RS}$  will be increased in  $S(T)$ . Hence  $\gamma_{RS}(T) \leq 2n$ .

Finally we obtain the Nordhauss-Gaddum type results.

**Theorem13:** For any graph  $G$  with  $p \geq 2$ ,

1.  $\gamma_{RS}(G) + \gamma_{RS}(\overline{G}) \leq 3p - 1$ .
2.  $\gamma_{RS}(G) \cdot \gamma_{RS}(\overline{G}) \leq (p + 1)^2$

### References

- [1] E. J. Cockyane, P. A. Dreyer, S. M. Hedetniemi, and S. T. Hedetniemi, *Roman domination in Graphs*, *Disc. Math.* 278(2004), 11-22.
- [2] T.W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in graphs*, Marcel Dekker, New York, (1998).
- [3] Ian Stewart, *Defend the Roman Empire!*, *Sci. Amer.*, 281(6)(1999), 136-139.
- [4] V. R. Kulli, *Theory of domination in Graphs*, Vishwa International Pulications, Gulbarga University, Gulbarga, (2010), 31.
- [5] M. H. Muddebihal, D. Basavarajappa and A. R. Sedamkar, *Roman Domination in Line Graphs*, *Canadian. J. Sci. and Eng. Math.* Vol. 1, No. 4, (2010), 69-79.
- [6] M. H. Muddebihal and Sumangaladevi, *Roman Lict Domination in Graphs*, *Ultra Scientist of Physical Sciences*, Vol 24(3)A, (2012) 449-458.
- [7] M. H. Muddebihal and Sumangaladevi, *Connected Roman Domination in Graphs*, *International Journal of Research in Engg. and Tech.* Vol.2(10), (2013).
- [8] O. Ore, *Theory of graphs*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ.*, 38, providence, (1962).